



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft
Confédération suisse
Confederazione Svizzera
Confederaziun svizra

Swiss State Secretariat for Economic Affairs SECO
Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation SDC
Swiss Federal Office for the Environment FOEN
Swiss Federal Office of Energy SFOE

REPIC
Renewable Energy &
Energy Efficiency
Promotion in
International
Cooperation

Final Report:

Renewable Energy and Waste Management in Ghana



Authors

Vögeli Yvonne, Eawag
Lohri Christian Riu, Eawag
Zurbrügg Christian, Eawag

Report date: May 31st 2012

Country: Ghana	Technology: Biomass
Project Duration: 12 months	Category: Pilot project

Written by

Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag)

Department for Water and Sanitation in Developing Countries (Sandec)

Überlandstrasse 133, CH-8600 Dübendorf

Phone: +41 44 823 56 02 ; Fax : +41 44 823 53 99, christian.lohri@eawag.ch, www.sandec.ch

In collaboration with

Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST)

Prof. Ebenezer Mensah

Head of the Department of Agricultural Engineering

Kumasi, Ghana

And

Zürcher Hochschule für Angewandte Wissenschaften (ZHAW)

Fachgruppe Umweltbiotechnologie

Prof. Urs Baier

Wädenswil, Switzerland



Sandec
Water and Sanitation in
Developing Countries



Zürcher Hochschule
für Angewandte Wissenschaften



Life Sciences und
Facility Management

On behalf of:

REPIC Platform

c/o NET Nowak Energy & Technology SA

Waldweg 8, CH-1717 St. Ursen

Phone: +41(0)26 494 00 30, Fax: +41(0)26 494 00 34, info@repic.ch / www.repic.ch

Mandated by:

Swiss State Secretariat for Economic Affairs SECO

Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation SDC

Swiss Federal Office for the Environment FOEN

Swiss Federal Office of Energy SFOE

The author(s) of this report are alone responsible for its content and conclusions



Contents

0.	Summary
1.	Objectives
2.	Technical Solution / Applied Method
3.	Results
4.	Impacts
5.	Future Prospects
6.	Conclusions
7.	References

Annex: Picture Series

0. Summary

Dry anaerobic digestion has been implemented successfully for a number of years in Europe. Experts report that this process has a high potential of success for application in developing countries as it has a very simple design which can be constructed and operated at low cost, does not need addition of water, and the residues after digestion can be more easily treated in a safe way. Nonetheless, there were no documented experience with this technology used in a developing country context. Eawag in collaboration with KNUST and ZHAW developed a dry anaerobic digester with locally available materials by converting a second-hand shipping container into a biogas plant. With this technique, the organic solid waste is filled batch-wise into the digester where the material remains for a duration of 1-2 months. By a percolation system, water is circulated in order to spread the anaerobic bacteria evenly in the feedstock.

The pilot plant at KNUST was operated during one year and four batch runs have been conducted. Organic material from the solid waste dumpsite in Kumasi with a high fibrous content and fresh cow dung served as feedstock. The first batch test revealed that the container was insufficiently air-tight and no satisfactory biogas production could be reached. After several modifications and further batch tests with unsatisfactory results, a second biogas digester was constructed using a shipping container of higher quality. Finally, the fourth test run showed an increasing gas production and a methane content of 55-60%. However, gas production levels still are not as expected.

The following reasons are postulated why the biogas production is lower than expected. First, the nature of the feedstock might not be ideal for anaerobic digestion due to its high cellulose and lignin content. Although this material allows the percolate to penetrate the feedstock uniformly, it is not easily degraded by methane-forming bacteria. Secondly, it proves difficult to estimate if the digester is air-tight. This issue was difficult to verify as the gas flow meter was not able to measure low gas flow rates.

After the experience in Kumasi it can be said that dry anaerobic digestion in theory is suitable for developing country context, but there are still several technical problems that have to be solved. A major challenge is the air-tightness of the digester, as in comparison with the continuous wet fermentation, it has to be opened and closed regularly. Further tests are also necessary in order to know more about the ideal substrate for the dry digestion process. A clear advantage is the easy handling of the digestate as it is stackable and can be used as compost after a post-treatment period. Further research is needed in order to optimize the biogas digester and to reach a satisfactory biogas production.

1. Objectives

Overall objective

- Contribute to the development of appropriate technology for renewable energy production in Africa.
- Contribute towards greenhouse gas reduction.
- Contribute to an improved solid waste management by anaerobic treatment of the organic fraction.

Specific objective

- Explore the possibilities for construction of dry anaerobic digestion systems in developing countries using local materials.
- Develop, build and operate a dry fermentation digester which is appropriate for Ghana and has potential for replication in other developing countries.
- Explore the possibilities and opportunities for small scale CDM projects in the waste sector.
- Dissemination of innovative biogas technology for urban areas of Africa.

2. Technical Solution / Applied Method

Dry fermentation - discontinuous process with percolation

In the discontinuous dry digestion process, a predefined amount of waste is filled batch-wise into simple garage-like digester with an airtight door. All degradation phases take place in just this one fermenter. As a general rule, the fresh material is inoculated with old material from a previous digestion batch or with cow dung in order to accelerate growth of anaerobic bacteria and rapid methanogenic degradation. Once the door is closed, it remains closed throughout the digestion phase and the content is neither transported nor turned.

The digester has a percolation system which on the one hand collects leachate from the pile of waste and on the other hand allows recirculation and sprinkling of the waste inside the digester. The percolation system operates from the start of the anaerobic phase which then lasts for 4-8 weeks, depending on the characteristics of the substrate. Sprinkling of the percolate may occur continuously or periodically but is stopped a few days before opening the digester to ensure that the material is well drained for subsequent further use. Before opening the digester, the air inside the digester is flushed with exhaust gas from an engine or with ambient air to avoid that an explosive gas/air mixture may arise after opening and while emptying the digester.

The fermentation plant can easily be extended or reduced by one or more digester modules. This allows better control and optimization of retention time to ensure improved gas yield and allow a flexible adjustment of the plant to changing input materials.

This dry fermentation approach has already been implemented successfully for a number of years in Europe. Experts report that this process has a high potential for application in developing countries as it has the simplest design and lower cost than the wet digester reactors. A big advantage of the technology is that no addition of water is needed - often a scarce resource in many countries - and that the residues after digestion can be more easily treated in a safe way. Also, dry digestion is less sensitive to a variety of substrates in their size or the level of impurities they contain. In comparison, in wet fermentation reactors, changing feedstock size and composition leads to severe operating challenges. Although experts agree about suitability of this approach, it has yet to be proven that the dry digestion technology can be developed locally which inherently better adapted and thus more appropriate for low and middle-income countries conditions. It is only with pilot demonstration and research and development including close monitoring that the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats can be analysed, understood and documented.




Figure 1. Garage fermenter with percolation

3. Results

In a pre-REPIC phase (December 2010 - May 2011) two Swiss ETH students conducted a preliminary study on-site in the city of Kumasi, working in close collaboration with researchers of Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST). They identified and evaluated different local materials potentially suitable for the construction of a dry digestion biogas plant. Based on these findings, the decision was taken to purchase a second hand shipping container. This container has been converted into a biogas digester.

With REPIC funding the project then continued its activities by involving three Swiss and two local students (teaching assistants at KNUST) to streamline and optimise the existing facility. Several research activities were conducted which all contribute to establishing more scientific information for dry digestion biogas development.

3.1 Results from digester operation

Technical improvements at the container

After a first trial with organic waste from the landfill (pre-REPIC phase), it became obvious that improvements were necessary regarding the air tightness of the digester. Main reason for the lacking air tightness proved to be the two wing door of the container. To improve air tightness a garden hose was installed to tighten the two wing door. Furthermore a wooden frame was constructed behind the doors to improve the sealing and combined with bicycle tire which were glued on to the wood where necessary. The bicycle tires were then pumped with air to increase sealing. Silicone sealing was used for smaller leakages. Additionally the silicon was painted with rust free colour to make it last longer.

Furthermore, several improvements needed to be done to simplify the technical handling. Besides also general maintenance to avoid corrosion, ensure longevity and to increase the air tightness. The floor silicon sealing had dried out and needed to be replaced. The inside and outside walls of the container were painted with anticorrosive paint. The percolation system had to be rebuilt as much of the system was clogged by solids and the holes were not large enough for some solids in the percolate to pass. The new percolation system avoided a percolation tank which previously had created problems. A direct connection from the outlet to the filter was constructed: The permanent use of electricity for the percolation pump made the installation of a separate electricity connection inevitable. A new flash back arrestor was constructed like a wash bottle known from the lab. Like this the water level inside is visual and a possible gas flow was detected more easily.

Batch #1

The improved digester as described above was loaded with a standard feedstock (delivered from Zoomlion), inoculated by fresh cow dung from the abattoir and an inoculated percolate that provided appropriate pH-conditions. The percolation was continuously controlled by a time switch. Every day measurement was proceeded for:

- Temperature, pH, Redox potential and conductivity of the percolate (2-3 times)
- Gas flow, gas quality (2-3 times)
- Contents of the produced gas (1 time)

Besides, the COD of the percolate was measured once a week. The TS, VS and COD of the feedstock were measured at the beginning and at the end in the digestate.

After 32 days the container was unloaded, cleaned and maintained as preparation for the following batch. The digestate was dumped on a pile near the fermenter as half of it was used as inoculum

for the second batch. The percolate was stored in buckets so it could be used for the next fermentation process. Only little liquid could not be pumped out via hose and had to be drained into the environment.

Table 1. Substrates used for first batch

Compounds	Mass (t)	Density (t/m ³)	Volume (m ³)
Feedstock	5	0.5	10.00
Inoculum (cow dung)	4	0.9	4.44
Percolate	1	1	1.00
Calcium carbonate	0.003		
Total	10		15.44

During this test run, the pH remained always below 7.5 and was mostly around 6.5, even though calcium carbonate was added with the percolate to increase the pH. This indicates an unfavourable environment for the methane-forming bacteria. As a consequence, the methane content in the biogas was very low and reached only 18% at the end of the test run.

In between the unloading of batch one and the loading of batch two, silicon sealing on the floor and the door was renewed. Rust inside the container was no issue.

Batch #2

For the second batch, the main features of the setup and measuring was not changed. But the material was inoculated differently. The digestate of batch one was used as inoculum, therefore the ratio of the compounds was meant to be 3:1:2 (Old digestate: Feedstock: Cow dung) concerning their mass. In this case feedstock and cow dung are together considered as feedstock for this second batch and represent about 50% of the load, which is recommended by various sources of literature.

Table 2. Substrates used for second batch

Compounds	Mass (t)	Density (t/m ³)	Volume (m ³)
Feedstock	2.4	0.5	6.00
Cow dung	4.05	0.9	4.5
Digestate batch one	6.3	0.9	7
Percolate	1.5	1	1.50
Calcium carbonate	0.0045		
Total	14.25		19

During the second test run, the pH was again very low at the beginning, but stabilized at around 7.7 after 45 days. Again, calcium carbonate was added to increase the pH. This time, the methane content in the biogas reached a maximum of 50-60% after 25 days, but dropped again to 40% after a few days.

Batch #3

Before starting with the third test run, some adjustments were necessary. After unloading the digester, the digestate was kept aside protected with a tarpaulin in order not to be exposed to the rain. The percolate was pumped out and stored in rubber tanks. The digester was then cleaned and the percolation system tested with water. Some silicone was applied on the container floor to seal the interstices through which the liquid could possibly leak out. Moreover, in order to prevent the surface of the digester to deteriorate, some black anti-corrosive paint was applied on the outer and inner walls of the container.

The substrate used for the third batch was composed as follows:

Table 3. Substrates used for third batch

Substrate	Mass [t]	Density [t/m ³]	Volume [m ³]
Fresh organic waste	5	0.5	10
Digestate	6	0.8	7.5
Cow dung	1.8	0.9	2
Calcium carbonate	5 kg	–	–

During this test run, the methane content reached between 55 and 60%, which is normal for biogas. The pH stabilised between 8 and 8.5, which is in the optimum range for anaerobic digestion. However, no gas production could be measured. This results probably from the fact that the gas meter installed initially had been subjected to corrosion and required consequently a high pressure of the gas inside the digester to be able to measure any gas flow properly. This pressure could not be attained because of the numerous leakages present at the door sides.

Another problem encountered during this test run was that a big quantity of percolate was leaking out of the digester. Consequently some water had to be added to make up for these losses (around 300 litres were added in total during the digestion).

Installation of a new digester

Based on the results and problems faced during the test runs #1-3, a new digester was built. The new digester is also made of a 20ft shipping container. Its design was based on the design of the first digester. It has been equipped with metallic inlet and outlet pipes directly welded to the surface of the container and with systems of PVC pipes for the percolate circulation and for the gas outlet. To prevent the contact with the rain it has been equipped with a roof. During the day, the digester was also directly exposed to the solar radiation. The roof thus helped preventing the temperature difference between day and night to be too elevated.

Furthermore, the digester was mounted on legs. The fact that the digester was elevated prevented the bottom of the container from being in contact with the ground and thus prevented the wooden plates from rotting. Furthermore, the operators were able to easily locate the places where percolate was leaking, allowing them to collect it easily. As for the previous pilot plant, cement blocks were placed under the digester, to create an adequate inclination. This allowed the liquid to accumulate on one side of the digester and to be more easily collected and pumped for recirculation.

Moreover, to prevent percolate from leaking out of the bottom of the digester, fibreglass coating has been applied on the floor. This way, interstices existing between the wooden plates could be sealed. Finally, anticorrosive paint was applied on the inner and outer walls.

The investment costs for the new container amounted to around 8000 USD. Whereas the investment costs for all additional equipment (pump, pipes, valves, filters,...) amounted approximately to 200 USD. All equipment is made of locally available material.

Batch #4

After the installation of the new and improved container, a fourth batch run was started. The substrate was composed as follows:

Table 4. Substrate used for fourth batch

Substrate	Mass [t]	Density [t/m ³]	Volume [m ³]
Fresh organic waste	6	0.5	12
Digestate	6.4	0.8	8
Calcium carbonate	5 kg	–	–

Also during this test run, the methane content reached between 55-60% and the pH value was between 7.4 and 8.5, thus the ideal environment for methane-forming bacteria. Nevertheless, the amount of biogas that could be measured with the gas meter was very little. The 6600 litres in 30 days (see Figure 2) only represents 1.4% of the biogas yield that could be expected.




Figure 2. cumulative biogas production during fourth batch

There are various reasons why the biogas production is so low.

First, the nature of the feedstock is not suitable for biogas production. On the one hand, the majority of the waste that was fed into the digester is made of fibrous or cellulose and lignin rich materials. The positive aspect of using this kind of structural feedstock is that the liquid can percolate easily through it, without clogging the outlet pipes and filters, and without becoming too thick and viscous to be recirculated with a pump, allowing the liquid to penetrate in the feedstock. On the other hand, lignin and cellulose rich materials are not easily degraded by methane-forming bacteria to produce biogas. Thus, although this type of feedstock is ideal for percolate distribution through the feedstock, it is not optimal for biogas production.

Secondly, the more the substrate is cut into small pieces, the more easily it can be reached and degraded by methane-forming micro-organisms. The fact that the feedstock is not shredded thus reduces its potential to produce biogas, or reduces the rate at which biogas is produced. Shredding the substrate in small pieces could increase the rate at which biogas is produced but at the same time it could cause difficulties with the percolate circulation system. The collection pipe and the pump filter could more easily become clogged because of the small pieces of substrate.

Third, the micro-organisms that degrade the substrate are mostly present in the liquid (percolate). It can be that the percolate is not uniformly distributed over the whole feedstock and that some parts of the feedstock is not reached by the percolate. After test run #3, when the percolate circulation piping system was dismantled, it could be observed that some holes of the sprinkling pipes were clogged. This could lead the percolate to wet the feedstock at some determined places only and form some channels in the feedstock through which the liquid flows. If the liquid is not distributed evenly throughout the feedstock, some parts are not in regular contact with the percolate. In consequence, the biological activity is not maintained in those places.

Finally, it can be that not all the gas produced is actually measured by the gas meter. On the one hand, some gas could be leaking out of the digester. Although the digester has been improved in comparison with test run #3, the airtightness of the digester still remains an issue. This fact however can hardly be verified and has not been measured. On the other hand, the instrument measuring the gas flow has a detection limit of 40 litres per hour. Any gas flow lower than that is not detected by the gas meter. The biogas could at some points be flowing out at a lower rate than detection limit of the gas meter and could then in consequence not be measured.

3.2 Operational guidelines

To guarantee the implementation of the biogas fermentation at KNUST it is important to have documentations about the techniques in use. Therefore a user manual was developed to help operate the technical aspect of the present system. As it is not only important for future implementation than also to guarantee a seamless handover of the project between the single studies, that will follow within the project continuation, a documentation in form of a user manual will be helpful.

The user manual was divided in the following major parts:

1. Introduction
2. Preparation and assembly
3. Loading
4. Reaction observation, treatment and troubleshooting
5. Unloading and post-treatment

The user manual is written for people which handle the fermenter but have no previous knowledge of biogas digestion. Explanations are as simple as possible to keep the eye on the important factors as the understanding of the system and the process observation.

The user manual is part of the publication *Biolley and Diggelmann (2011)* in Annex E.

3.3 Economic viability

A detailed cost-benefit analysis has been elaborated by *Burri and Martius (2011)*. The financial analysis depends on different assumptions that greatly influence the economic performance. Factors such as the electricity tariff, the availability of substrate material and its transport distance or the market value of compost can vary greatly. Therefore different scenarios were developed to account for possible variance.

A “baseline” scenario assumes a modest feed in tariff of 12 cents/kWh for electricity, no heat usage, modest avoided landfill costs (4 US\$/tonne, this equals the amount the government pays to manage waste at a landfill, and a very low market value for compost (10 US\$/tonne).

In a “low-revenue” scenario, it is assumed that the electricity is sold at the current market price of 8 Cents/kWh, avoided landfill costs are zero, process heat cannot be utilized and compost has no market value.

In a “high-revenue” scenario, a feed-in tariff of 23 Cents/kWh for electricity is assumed, avoided landfill cost are high (8 US\$/tonne, this assumes that the government subsidizes organic waste recycling), process heat can be used partly (15 Cents/kWh) and compost sales at 40 US\$ per ton roughly covers the costs of post-composting.

The “CDM” scenario keeps all the assumption from the baseline scenario but includes revenues from climate certificates. The revenues are assumed to be 10 US\$/t CO2 eq.

The “Composting” scenario assumes that the plant is installed at an existing composting plant. The cost of the substrate and the sale of the compost are not included as it is assumed that these costs are attributed to the compost plant. Moreover, the office buildings, water and electricity supply are assumed to be existing. Also, the cost for work is lower due to efficiency gains. The other assumptions are as in the baseline case.

Table 5. Summary of financial indicators

	Baseline	Low	High	CDM	Composting
Invest Cost [US\$]	47,080	47,080	47,080	47,080	43,480
O&M Cost [US\$/year]	34,185	34,185	34,185	34,185	16,410
Revenue [US\$/year]	10,253	5,029	48,390	25,309	7,543
NPV [US\$]	-134,973	-154,161	5,091	-79,677	-76,046
LCE [US cents /year]	75	75	75	75	45
WTC [US\$/ton]	96	110	-4	57	54

O&M: operation & maintenance; NPV: net present value; LCE: levelised cost of electricity; WTC: costs for treating one ton of waste

Table 5 summarizes the results of the financial analysis. Only the “High” scenario has a positive net present value. Generally, there is a large spread between the different scenarios. The profitability of a biogas project depends on many factors, which can vary greatly depending on the circumstances. For example, the future feed in tariff for electricity can vary between the current tariff of 8 cents/kWh up to a preferential feed in tariff of 35 cents/kWh. Additional to the uncertainty in cost and revenues, a new technology involves many operational uncertainties. Throughout the calculations it is assumed that gas is produced without major technological failures. The goal of the financial analysis is therefore not to give any definite numbers, but provide an idea how the financial analysis of such a project can vary and to give an idea which factors are important for profitable operation.

In summary it can be said that:

- The simple design of the dry fermentation plant leads to low investment cost. The high cost for the generator and grid connection are the same for any biogas technology.
- With regard to investment cost per cubic meter digester room, dry fermentation is comparable to wet fermentation.
- The choice of the site is critical. On the one hand the substrate costs are directly dependent on the site. They could be high in the case the waste needs to be transported over long distances and separated. They could even be negative, if the plant recycles the waste e.g. of a fruit factory which would otherwise have to pay a waste collection fee. On the other hand, the

revenues are again dependent on the site and on the local policies. The site determines which of the products can be used and in what way (heat, fertilizer, or electricity). The policy framework determines the market value to some extent.

- The overall viability is dependent on those revenue parameters as shown by the net present value results. A general conclusion whether dry fermentation is a financially viable technology is not possible. The technology can only be profitable within very favourable circumstances.
- Biogas from solid waste has a broad range of benefits from climate impacts to sanitation improvement and from renewable energy to agriculture. This makes the technology undisputedly attractive for a clean and sustainable development. On the downside, it makes the allocation of revenues and costs more difficult. This has in turn implication with regard to policy support, as it makes it unclear which ministry (energy, sanitation or agriculture) should be mandated to support the dissemination.

All figures and assumptions that were used for above calculations can be found in *Burri and Martius (2011)*.

3.4 Potential for GHG emission reductions / Opportunities for CDM

The potential for greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions of a full scale biogas plant has been calculated based on the characteristics of the pilot plant in Kumasi. Figure 3 shows the results of the calculations for the treatment of 301 tons per year of organic waste with a composition of 50% mixture of food and food waste and 50% garden, yard and park waste. The continuous lines show the emission reductions and the baseline emissions with respect to the years for which the calculation is made. The interrupted lines show the average of these quantities between the 1st and the 15th year. The baseline emissions are the amount of methane that would be emitted from the decay of the degradable organic carbon at a solid waste disposal site in the absence of the project. The average yearly emission reductions during the assumed project period of 15 years are 121.5 tCO₂e/yr.




Figure 3. Results for the baseline emissions (in blue) and the emission reductions (in green). (Robbiani, 2011)

Based on the calculations above, different scenarios for implementing a CDM project were studied. As a baseline serves one single digester which treats 301 t/y composed of a mixture of food waste (50%) and garden, yard and park waste (50%).

Household waste: In this scenario, it is assumed that all the organic fraction of the waste coming from households of Kumasi is treated anaerobically through the implementation of a number of dry fermentation plants disseminated strategically throughout the city.

Market waste: In this scenario, it is assumed that all the organic waste generated by the four main markets in Kumasi are treated anaerobically. The dry fermentation plants would be situated close to the markets.

Total mixed: In this scenario, all the organic waste of the city of Kumasi available for biogas production is treated anaerobically in dry fermentation plants. This would include waste from households, markets, industries and livestock.

Table 6. Summary of the results of the different scenarios

Scenario	Quantity of organic waste treated [tons/year]	CER earned on average over 15 years [CER/year]	Number of plants
Baseline	301	122	1
Households	64000	25800	213
Markets	60000	24200	199
Total (households, markets, industries and livestock)	128600	51900	427

The results above only give an indication of the potential emission reductions corresponding to such projects. The figures are based on assumptions and default conditions. The biggest assumption comes from the waste composition. It was assumed that all the waste that goes into the digesters is of organic nature, and composed of a mixture of food waste and garden, yard and park waste.

Another big assumption comes from the methane correction factor (MCF), which is determined according to the type of disposal site at which the waste would be deposited. A precise assessment of the site structure and the management practices of the site would have to be performed in order to have a more exact estimation of the MCF.

Other parameters such as the quantity of waste fed into the digester, the incremental distance for transportation of waste, physical leakage of methane, average truck capacity, and emission factor from fuel used due to transportation could also be measured on site taking into account the exact conditions of the specific project considered.

Furthermore, the grid emission factor (GEF) was taken from a study done in 2007. For a more accurate value of the GEF a calculation with the current situation would have to be performed. It should be pointed out that by implementing a CDM project with the total scenario in its full scale, where all organic waste available for biogas production of the city of Kumasi is considered, the yearly methane recovery would at some point exceed 60 ktCO_{2e}, which is the limit above which the methodology for small-scale CDM-project activities is not anymore applicable, as prescribed by the CDM modalities and procedures. Although the average emission reductions over the first 15 years remains below 60 ktCO_{2e}, this limit would be exceeded at the 9th year and onward.

Finally, attention should also be directed towards the number of plants that would have to be operated in each scenario. This number suggest that it might not be feasible to implement the scenarios at their full scale, because of the large space and monitoring issues such a project would require. Especially when considering the fact that each plant would be equipped with a composting station for the further treatment of residual material.

More details regarding GHG emission reductions and the different scenarios for implementing a CDM project are available in *Robbiani (2011)*.

4. Impacts

The project is related to the REPIC activity *support of pilot and demonstration projects* and it is therefore difficult to show direct socio-economic impacts. The aim of the research project was to develop a new type of biogas digester which - once implemented on a larger scale - would contribute towards an improved waste management and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. However, at the present stage, it is still a research and demonstration project and not yet ready for implementation. Nonetheless, a lot of knowledge and experience related to dry fermentation was gained at the involved research institutes of KNUST, Eawag and ZHAW. But also the private company Zoomlion as well as actors from the public sector are now aware of this new treatment method although it is not yet ready for implementation. The project also contributed to knowledge transfer as several Swiss and local students have been involved in different tasks. A number of Ghanaian MSc students are showing interest in conducting their thesis related to the topic of dry digestion and we are confident that KNUST will continue research activities in this field. KNUST can also present itself as a 'knowledge centre' of this innovative biogas technology.

As a positive side-effect it can be mentioned that the project raised discussion about the valorisation potential of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste. Hopefully, more effort will be laid in future in recycling this important fraction.

As a very positive change it has to be mentioned that the pilot plant at KNUST shall be incorporated in the waste management plan of the University campus to treat all the organic waste originating from the student's canteens.

5. Future Prospects

On 24th of April 2012, key actors from research, private sector and local government were invited to participate at the stakeholder workshop that took place at KNUST in Kumasi. As the project also intended to explore how such a technology can be integrated into the municipal waste management system and be operated in an economically sustainable way, the stakeholders were invited to contribute in finding answers to these questions. The aim of this workshop was thus to disseminate the research results and to discuss the potential for implementation of the dry digestion technology in Ghana.

The Specific objectives of the workshop were

- To explain rationale behind the dry digestion project at KNUST
- To present the results of the research studies that have been conducted at KNUST, highlight experienced problems and examine further research topics
- To discuss the potential and constraints of dry digestion technology based on the study results
- To identify possible implementation strategies of the technology in the Ghanaian context with relevant stakeholders

It became clear throughout the workshop that the dry digestion technology is currently not yet sufficiently mature to be implemented by the public or private sector at a larger scale. Furthermore, the representatives of the Waste Department at KMA expressed their limitations in pushing the technology forward. Their priority clearly lays on working towards a SWM system which can cover its costs and further investments in new, unproven technologies cannot be considered at the moment. The academic sector, on the other side, showed substantial interest in this innovative technology and argued that further research is still needed before initiating the dissemination phase of the technology.

Partly as a result of the workshop, three Ghanaian MSc students (Environmental Resource Engineering) expressed their interest in writing their MSc thesis related to the dry digestion project under the supervision of Prof. Ebenezer Mensah. They wish to focus on the different post-treatment options of digestate, analyse its influence on crop yield and soil property, and look into the demand and possible use of this organic fertilizer. Dr. Moses Mensah offered to supervise two MSc students on writing their thesis related to the conception, design, implementation and operation of a dry digestion lab or (bench)-scale plant to better understand the dynamics within the digester. In addition, Dr. Mensah, as head of a KNUST-internal working group which is in charge of developing an Integrated Waste Management Plan for the KNUST campus, suggested to incorporate the dry digestion pilot plant in this waste management plan in order to formally include the plant in a KNUST scheme and thus clearly distribute the responsibilities for its operation and maintenance.

6. Conclusions

Batch dry fermentation plants have a simple design and low water and process energy consumption. They can use bulky solid waste as a substrate with a high dry matter content and the digestate can be easily post-composted. The construction of the pilot plant proved that the construction is possible to be realised in Ghana. It can be built at a low price with local material. On the basis of a shipping container a dry fermentation plant can be built without high-tech parts or specialized tools.

However, the pilot plant revealed some drawbacks of the technology: The airtight sealing remains a major challenge. Particularly the sealing of the door needs further investigation to find a permanent solution. The security risk of potentially explosive air/methane mixture is an important factor to consider. Methane is a burnable gas and explosive mixtures and sparks have to be avoided by all means. Operation is considerably safe if precautions are followed. In case of faulty handling however, severe accidents can occur. As the technology is not very mature yet, the security issue need further research, which includes the development of a digester room flushing system. Further research also needs to be directed on the durability of parts like the PVC piping or the sealed wooden floor. Although the plant construction is made with simple technology it needs to be operated and taken care of by trained personnel. If handled wrongly the delicate parts such as the sealing or the PVC pipe connections can be damaged easily. Because of the percolation system that has to be operated frequently, the operation of the plant needs regular attention and requires water and electricity.

Technology comparison to wet digestion

Comparing dry fermentation to simple wet fermentation technologies (such as Chinese fixed-dome digesters or floating-drum digesters) fewer arguments remain in favour of dry digestion. Wet digesters can also have a very simple design. No mixing or stirring device is involved, hence no electricity connection is needed. Performance and suitability is proven in a vast number of plants throughout Asia and Africa. The performance of the pilot dry fermentation plant however is yet to be proven. Nevertheless, the performance of dry fermentation plants in Europe show a competitive performance. Albeit there is a degree of uncertainty to the cost assessment, the economics show that the prices per digester volume are similar.

A striking advantages compared to wet fermentation is the suitability to ferment stackable substrate with high dry matter content and the handling of the digestate. This fact makes it a very suitable option to improve SWM. In a wet digestion process, municipal waste input has to be cut into small pieces by a shredder and is mixed with water. The subsequent digestate is liquid and cumbersome to handle. Currently over 90% of the biogas plants in Ghana discharge the effluent in public drains or bushes. This is associated with health concerns and environmental pollution. The effluent can contain pathogens and introduces large quantities of nutrients into water bodies. With dry fermentation the digestate is solid. It can be unloaded with shovels and forks. It can be easily piled up and post-composted. A simple shelter is sufficient to prevent leakage during heavy rains. Composting produces organic fertilizer and kills pathogens. This organic fertilizer can be transported with common trucks and applied to fields without specialized tools. If there is no demand for organic fertilizer, the compost can be dumped on the dumpsite without further treatment or health concerns.

Technology comparison to composting

Looking at dry fermentation mainly as a technology to treat organic waste, composting is another alternative. The technological advantages of dry fermentation are clear: The biogas production adds a valuable product while the post-composting of the digestate maintains all the advantages of only composting. Two main aspects have to be considered for the comparison of composting and dry fermentation. Firstly: It can be that biogas technology is too complex for developing countries. Secondly it might be too costly and a plant cannot be operated economically. Whether the technology is appropriate in respect of the ease of operation is too early to say. Many characteristics are very promising. With the current circumstances (energy prices, waste handling fees), dry fermentation is not financially viable. However, the financial problems are also true for any other biogas technology and hold true to some extent for composting, as the policies are unfavourable.

Framework Conditions

Ghana has no tradition in producing biogas. It only has about 100 biogas plants of which roughly half are in operation. Biogas plays a very marginal role in the energy sector. Other African countries have significantly wider dissemination of biogas plants, for instance Kenya about 2000 and Tanzania between 4000 and 5000.

SWOT Analysis

As a general overview on the project, the conclusions are summarized in a SWOT analysis.

Table 7. SWOT analysis as a general overview on the project

	Strengths	Weaknesses
Internal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Low tech construction - Low investment cost - Easy handling of substrate and digestate - Low process energy needed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Immature technology - Performance uncertainties due to lacking test - Durability uncertain - Technical problems unsolved (air tightness) - Security issues - Low market value of gas and compost
External	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improves SWM - Reduces GHG emissions - Closes nutrient cycles by producing fertilizer - Creates jobs - Reduces dependency on fossil fuels, wood fuels and energy imports - Renewable energy policies are being drafted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Current, unfavourable conditions could persist - Impact of discovered oil reserves on renewable energies is uncertain - Trained personnel is rare - Acceptance of new technology is uncertain - Financial risks due to high discount rates

Recommendations

At this stage, several open questions remain regarding the reasons responsible for the low gas production. Therefore, it is necessary to know more about the gas potential and the suitability of the feedstock, but also if the container is really gas tight or if a substantial amount of gas is leaking. As the used gas meter was probably not suitable to measure the gas production, a reliable gas measurement device is necessary to ensure good measurement results.

In a next step, it is necessary to better understand the dynamics inside the container. With only one container and a retention time of 1-2 months, it is not possible to test and modify various parameters within a useful timeframe. It is therefore recommended to conduct batch tests with smaller bench-scale plants and to test different substrates, digestate/fresh feedstock ratios, retention times, and different modes of percolation.

With this information, it will then be possible to decide whether it makes sense to continue the project and to put more effort on optimising the digester.

Apart from the enhancement of the AD process, the post-treatment steps of the digestate and its quality compared to other organic fertilizer should be examined as well. Additionally, the improvement in soil characteristics and the changes in crop yield when applying post-treated digestate should be looked into.

Another important point to look into is to explore the market demand to find out the most promising form, distribution and use of the AD products (biogas and digestate).

7. References

Burri, M. and Martius, G. (2011) : Biogas from Solid Waste – Conception and Construction of a Dry Fermentation Pilot Plant for Developing Countries. Master Thesis. Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) and Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag).

*Biolley, L. and Diggelmann, R. (2011). Biogas from Solid Waste - Development and Further Engineering of Dry Fermentation Technology in Kumasi, Ghana. Bachelor Thesis. University of Applied Sciences (ZHAW) and Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag).
⇒ Including a User Manual on how to operate dry fermentation plants (Appendix E)*

Robbiani, Z. (2011): Renewable Energy and Waste Management in Ghana. Potential for greenhouse gases emission reductions of a dry-fermentation pilot plant and opportunities for implementing a Clean Development Mechanism project. Semester project. Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag).

Robbiani, Z. (2012): Technological and operational improvements of a dry digestion pilot biogas plant developed by Sandec in Kumasi. Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag).

Stakeholder Workshop Summary Report

Annex: Picture series



Picture 1. Solid waste dumpsite in Kumasi



Picture 2. Separation of organic waste



Picture 3. Delivery of feedstock by Zoomlion



Picture 4. Mixing of feedstock



Picture 5. Filled digester



Picture 6. Second, improved digester



Picture 7. Percolation system



Picture 8. Sealed doors



Picture 9. Pipe for percolate collection



Picture 10. Installation for gas measurements



Picture 11. Digestate after anaerobic treatment



Picture 12. Waste collection point at KNUST

Summary report

STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOP

Dry Digestion of Organic Solid Waste: A promising valorization technology for Ghana?

Tuesday, 24th April 2012, KNUST, Kumasi (Ghana)

1. Introduction

On 24th of April 2012, key actors from research, private sector and local government were invited to participate at the stakeholder workshop that took place in the E-classroom of the Energy Center at KNUST in Kumasi. For 18 months, Eawag¹ had been conducting a research project in collaboration with KNUST² and ZHAW³ with the main focus *to develop, build and operate a locally adapted dry digestion pilot plant* on the university campus. As the project also intended to explore how such a technology can be integrated into the municipal waste management system and be operated in an economically sustainable way, the stakeholder were invited to contribute in finding answers to these questions. The aim of this workshop was thus to disseminate the research results and to discuss the potential for implementation of the dry digestion technology in Ghana.

2. Specific objectives of the workshop

- To explain rationale behind the dry digestion project at KNUST
- To present the results of the research studies that have been conducted at KNUST, highlight experienced problems and examine further research topics
- To discuss the potential and constraints of dry digestion technology based on the study results
- To identify possible implementation strategies of the technology in the Ghanaian context with relevant stakeholders

3. Structure of the workshop

The morning was reserved for presentations about solid waste management (SWM) in Kumasi, the development of dry digestion technology, the study results of the dry fermentation pilot plant at KNUST, an introduction into the work of the Energy Center, ongoing innovative research on biomass conversion technologies at KNUST and the agricultural value of digestate originating from anaerobic digestion.

In the introduction of the workshop, it was explained that it is helpful to look at anaerobic digestion of organic waste from a chain perspective with the following three main components:




Figure 1: Three main component in an "Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Solid Waste – System"

The structure of these three components was used throughout the workshop to clearly distinguish between the different aspects of the anaerobic digestion chain.

¹ Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology

² Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana

³ Zurich University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland

4. Participants

The stakeholder workshop was organized by:

Mr. Christian Riu Lohri	Sandec/Eawag, Switzerland, Solid Waste Research Group	Project officer
Prof. Ebenezer Mensah	Head of Agricultural Engineering Dept., KNUST, Ghana	Main partner, facilitator and local supervisor of students who did research on pilot plant
Prof. Urs Baier	Head of Env. Biotechnology Dept. ZHAW, Switzerland	Supervisor and technical backstopper for Swiss students during research on pilot plant.

In addition to the organizers, the following persons were giving a presentation during the workshop:

Dr. Moses Mensah	Head of Chemical Engineering Dept. KNUST, Ghana	“Sustainable Biomass Conversion Technologies – Overview, trends, barriers and the way forward”
Mr. Edward Antwi	Lecturer at Mechanical Engineering Dept. of Kumasi Polytechnic, Ghana	“Solid Waste Management in Kumasi”
Mr. Zeno Robbiani	MSc student ETH Zurich, Switzerland	“Results of Dry Digestion Study in Kumasi” (has spent 6 months at KNUST doing research on improvements of the pilot plant)

The complete list of all 40 participants can be found in Annex II. In the introduction round, all participants expressed in which of the three above mentioned components of the anaerobic digestion chain their main expertise (or interest) lays, while at the same time indicating if they are part of the public, private or academic sector. All sectors were represented and the expertise almost evenly distributed among the input, AD technology and product components.



Figure 2: Workshop participants

5. Main issues raised during the presentation blocks

Solid Waste Management

- ‘Centralized or decentralized’ is not a question of input tonnage but rather defined by the structure of waste source and the current waste policies.
- Paradigm shift in Ghana is required: Waste is a resource with economic value!
- One of the official disposal sites will soon be full with no future solution yet.
- 70% of city waste in Ghana is collected. The organic fraction is dropping to 55% in favor of plastics which is has steadily been increasing over the past 10 years.
- Focus in SWM has been on collection rather than on treatment.
- Only half of KMA’s expenses for SWM are covered by the collection fees (participation and payment enforcement remains problematic).
- Change in attitude is needed to prevent littering, open burning and indiscriminate dumping of waste.
- Currently there is no demand from entrepreneurs for source separated (organic) materials.
- Unanswered questions about on which level source separation and sorting of waste will be practical, and what are the incentives of waste separation.
- Zoomlion’s composting projects are only economically viable through CO2 certificates.

Anaerobic/dry digestion

- Early agricultural biogas projects in Ghana either collapsed due to technical reasons or due to problems related to substrate collection.
- Ideas for dry digestion container:
 - o Glass window to look inside digester
 - o Increase internal loading volume to 80%
 - o Add more sensors and signals to monitor the AD process
 - o Fundamental research required (go back to lab/bench scale experiments)

6. Field visit to pilot plant

After lunch, the majority of stakeholders joined a trip to the dry digestion pilot plant, where Zeno explained the nature of his research and answered questions pertaining to the system. The idea of using the digestate for dry pyrolysis came up as well as the suggestion of installing additional measurement devices (e.g. temperature meter) inside the container.



Figure 3: Self-expanding foam for door sealing, gas measurement instruments, digestate (left to right)

7. Group discussions

The remaining participants formed three groups (input, AD technology, products). Each group discussed during one hour three given questions pertaining to their topic (see their presented answers underneath):

1. Input

1.1 What motivation can you imagine to go for separate collection?

- o Prerequisite is to know the need and purpose of separate collection (what are the benefits?).
- o Financial incentives either through reduction of collection fee or by selling the valuable (e.g. organic) waste components to entrepreneurs
- o Policy by City Administration (problem of enforcement)
- o A motivation for the City Authority is that through waste separation and subsequent recycling, a substantial reduction of waste ending at the disposal site can be reached. As a consequence, the lifespan of the disposal site is increased

1.2 Are there already collection schemes for separate collection of organic wastes?

- o No, as there is no apparent need yet for separate waste collection, no schemes are known. So the goal should be to establish a need. This can be done by the government or the private sector. However, as the government is already struggling to financially cover their SWM expenses, there is no chance for investment money. The public sector should create a business-friendly environment (e.g. tax exemption, favourable conditions for land use etc) for the private sector to enter the field.
- o Theoretically, separate collection of organic waste can be done to collect animal (e.g. pig) food. However, a member of the group (a pig farmer herself) expressed doubts if the waste quantity and quality (purity) would be sufficient and that the logistic and financial efforts for collection would be inappropriately high.

1.3 Which organic wastes are suitable for AD?

- o MSW (market, food remains & kitchen waste from households, restaurants, institutes)
- o Agricultural waste

- Slaughterhouse waste
- Waste from food processing (e.g. fruit juice factories)
- Animal dung

2. AD technology

2.1 What keyplayers have so far been active in promoting AD (including agriculture, waste water...)

- Small scale entrepreneurs
- The university
- Donors (GEF, Swiss government, etc.)
- Government
- Schools (JHS, SHS, etc.)

2.2 How high is the general level of knowledge on aD and ahwt is its reputation?

- Knowledge not available

2.3 Which companies and organizations will be interested in planning-building-selling-operating AD?

- Existing biogas companies
- Universities, other research institutes (CSIR, TCC, Energy Commission, Ministry of Energy etc.)
- Donors (World Bank, SC, GEF, UNEO, etc.)

3. Products

3.1 Who is interested in organic fertilizers?

- Urban farmers
- Landscape architects
- Commercial farmers
- Educational and other institutions (e.g. prisons)
- Backyard gardeners

3.2 Where and in which form will biogas fit into existing energy schemes?

- Agriculture (machinery, crop-drying, in boilers)
- Domestic purposes (cooking, heating)
- Co-generation of energy
- Abattoir
- Laboratories
- Mechanised Water pumping
 - Forms: in bottles, bags or direct utilization

3.3 Are there already options or expertise on decentralized use of biogas in Ghana?

- Institutions (Valley View University, Bator hospital, hospitality industry)
- Individuals, households



Figure 4: Group discussions

8. Round-up and conclusions

The participants were at the end asked to fill out a paper containing the following three questions:

1. Where would I personally like to see the project “Dry Digestion of Organic Solid Waste” in Ghana by the end of 2012?
2. Where do I see the most important bottlenecks/barriers for successful implementation of this technology in Ghana?
3. What measures will I (and my organisation) try to undertake in the future to promote dry digestion?

It became clear throughout the workshop that the dry digestion technology is currently not yet sufficiently mature to be implemented by the public or private sector. Furthermore, the representatives of the Waste Department at KMA expressed their limitations in pushing the technology forward. Their priority clearly lays on working towards a SWM system which can cover its costs. New investments in innovative, unproven technologies cannot be considered at the moment. The academic sector, on the other side, showed substantial interest in this technology and justifiably argued that further research is still needed before initiating the dissemination phase of the technology.

Partly as a result of the workshop, three Ghanaian MSc students (Environmental Resource Engineering) expressed their interest in writing their MSc thesis related to the dry digestion project under the supervision of Prof. Ebenezer Mensah. They wish to focus on the different post-treatment options of digestate, analyze its influence on crop yield and soil property, and look into the demand and possible use of this organic fertilizer. Dr. Moses Mensah offered to supervise two MSc students on writing their thesis related to the conception, design, implementation and operation of a dry digestion lab (or bench)-scale plant to better understand the dynamics within the digester. In addition, Dr. Mensah, as head of a KNUST-internal working group which is in charge of developing an Integrated Waste Management Plan for the KNUST campus, suggested to incorporate the dry digestion pilot plant in this waste management plan in order to formally include the plant in a KNUST scheme and thus clearly distribute the responsibilities for its operation and maintenance.

The workshop ended by the organizers expressing their gratefulness for the active participation of the stakeholders and their hope that dry digestion technology will be successfully continued in Ghana.



Figure 5: Workshop participants that joined the field visit to the dry digestion pilot plant on the KNUST campus

Annex

I Workshop program

8:30 – 9:00	<i>Time for registration</i>	
9:00 – 9:35	Words of welcome and introduction	Prof. Dr. E. Mensah (KNUST), all
9:35 – 9:50	Presentation 1: Why focus on Organic Solid Waste?	C. Lohri (Eawag)
9:50 – 10:15	Presentation 2: Biogas technologies, special focus on dry digestion	Prof. Dr. U. Baier (ZHAW)
10:15 – 10:45	Presentation 3: Solid Waste Management in Kumasi	Mr. Edward Antwi (Poly, Kumasi)
10:45 – 11:05	Coffee break	
11:05 – 11:35	Presentation 4: Study results of dry digestion pilot plant at KNUST	C. Lohri & Z. Robbiani (Eawag)
11:35 – 11:45	Presentation 5: Brief introduction of The Energy Center at KNUST	Prof. Dr. E. Mensah (KNUST)
11:45 – 12:15	Presentation 6: Research on biomass conversion technologies at KNUST	Dr. M. Mensah (KNUST)
12:15 – 12:40	Presentation 7: Agricultural perspective on anaerobic digestion	Prof. Dr. E. Mensah (KNUST)
12:40 – 14:00	Lunch (+ group picture)	
14:00 – 15:00	Field visit: Guided tour to the dry digestion pilot plant at KNUST	Z. Robbiani (Eawag) & teaching assistants (KNUST)
15:00 – 15:50	Discussion 1: Biogas expectations and demand	Prof. Dr. U. Baier (ZHAW); all
15:50 – 16:05	Coffee break	
16:05 – 16:55	Discussion 2: Uncertainties and how to eliminate them	Prof. Dr. U. Baier (ZHAW); all
16:55 – 17:15	Feedback round	All
17:15 – 17:30	Closing words	Prof. Dr. E. Mensah (KNUST), Prof. Dr. U. Baier (ZHAW), C. Lohri (Eawag)

II List of participants

	Name	Organization and Department	Position/ Function	Email address
1	Prof. Ebenezer Mensah	KNUST	Head of Agric. Engineering Dept.	ebenmensah@gmail.com
2	Prof. Urs Baier	ZHAW	Head of Env. Biotechnology	burs@zhaw.ch
3	Mr. Christian Riu Lohri	Eawag/Sandec	Project officer in solid waste group	christian.lohri@eawag.ch
4	Dr. Moses Mensah	KNUST	Lecturer	mymens14@gmx.com
5	Dr. Benjamin Afotey	KNUST	Lecturer	afotey_benjamin@hotmail.com
6	Dr. Bernard Keraita	Copenhagen School of Global Health	Researcher	b.keraita@sund.ku.dk
7	Ing. Edem Bensch	Kumasi Polytechnic, Chemical Engineering	Lecturer/ Researcher	edcube353@ceesdghana.org
8	Mr. Enoch Bessah	Dept. of Agricult. Eng (DAE), COE, KNUST	Research and Teaching assistant	enoch.bessah@gmail.com
9	Mr. Aboagye Dominic	DAE, COE, KNUST	Teaching assistant	aboagye2012@yahoo.com
10	Mr. Samuel Boahen	DAE, COE, KNUST	Teaching and research assistant	boahensamuel@gmail.com
11	Mr. Nana Opoku Aboagye	KNUST	Teaching assistant	opkydd@gmail.com
12	Mr. Obed Osei Anokye	DAE, COE, KNUST	Research assistant	ooanokye11@yahoo.com

13	Miss Deborah Opandoh	DAE, COE, KNUST	Teaching and research assistant	dopandoh@yahoo.com
14	Miss Gifty Mensah	KNUST-TEC	Research assistant	gmens82@yahoo.com
15	Ing. Edward Antwi	Kumasi Poly	Researcher	edwardantwi@hotmail.com
16	Mr. Commet Kweku Michael	TCC, COE, KNUST	Research Fellow	kwekumichael@gmx.de
17	Mr. Johannes Ami	KNUST	Researcher/ student	johanntodayag5@yahoo.com
18	Miss Asher Mustapha	KNUST	Student	ashmus1373@yahoo.com
19	Mr. Ato Fanyan-Martin	KNUST	Researcher/ student	fanmart2001@gmail.com
20	Mr. Raymond Seassey	KNUST	Researcher/ student	cseyray@yahoo.com
21	Mr. Michael Thompson	KNUST	Researcher/ student	thompson.mdo@gmail.com
22	Mr. Koduro Miezah	KNUST	Researcher/ student	komieza@yahoo.com
23	Mr. Zeno Robbiani	Eawag	Student	zeno.robbiani@eawag.ch
24	Miss Francisca Emefa Kukah	KNUST	Student	emefakukah@yahoo.com
25	Miss Helena Dickson	KNUST	Student	helabad2003@yahoo.com
26	Miss Josephine Emefa Asamoah	KNUST	Student	jaasea2003@yahoo.co.uk
27	Mr. Felix Engelhardt	Uppsala University, Sweden	Student	felix@felix-engelhardt.de
28	Mr. Mark Kwabena Gadogbe	KNUST	Student	mekyxineg@yahoo.com
29	Miss Iris Fynn	KNUST	MSc student	isrisfynn@yahoo.com
30	Mr. Samuel N.T. Quansah	KNUST	Service personnel	griffindolphin@yahoo.com
31	Mr. Seth Torto Sodjah	Accra-Poly	Student	kingtorto@yahoo.com
32	Miss Rahel Ofori Asantewaa	KNUST/Zoomlion	Student	roamfori@yahoo.com
33	Miss Aileen Koomson	Zoomlion	Assistant Researcher	aileenk25@yahoo.com
34	Mr. George Rockson	Zoomlion	Quality Control Environmental Research	grockson@yahoo.com
35	Mr. W. Boakye Acheampong	Ministry of Food and Agriculture (MOFA)	Regional Director	wboakyeacheampong@yahoo.com
36	Mr. Ebenezer K. Atobrah	Local Government, Reg. Co-ord Council	Reg. Local Government	eatobrah@yahoo.com
37	Miss Clara Darko	MOFA	Reg. Agricultural Engineer	cldarko@yahoo.com
38	Mr. Ossei Assibey Bonsu	Kumasi Metropolitan Assembly (KMA), Waste Management Department (WMD)	Assistant Engineer	Bonsu2000@hotmail.com
39	Mrs. Augustina A-Boateng	KMA, WMD	Research and Development Officer	afiasi@yahooco.uk
40	Mr. Jean Babtiste Attoliohan (?)			atomeoi@yahoo.fr